MDR Tracking Number: M5-04-3940-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled <u>Medical Dispute Resolution- General</u> and 133.308 titled <u>Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations</u>, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent. This dispute was received on 7-20-04.

Dates of service prior to 7-20-03 were submitted untimely per Rule 133.308 and will not be considered further in this decision.

The IRO reviewed medical necessity for level III office visit with manipulation, chiropractic manipulative treatment 98940 & 98943, level IV office visit.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor did not prevail** on the issues of medical necessity. Consequently, the requestor is not owed a refund of the paid IRO fee.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was not the only issue** to be resolved.

This dispute also contained services that were not addressed by the IRO and will be reviewed by the Medical Review Division.

On October 26, 2004, the Medical Review Division submitted a Notice to requestor to submit additional documentation necessary to support the charges and to challenge the reasons the respondent had denied reimbursement within 14 days of the requestor's receipt of the Notice.

The following table identifies the disputed services and Medical Review Division's rationale: No EOB: Neither party in the dispute submitted EOBs for some of the disputed services identified below. The requestor submitted convincing evidence that supports bills were submitted for audit. Since the insurance carrier did not raise the issue in their response that they had not had the opportunity to audit these bills and did not submit copies of the EOBs, the Medical Review Division will review these services per *Medical Fee Guideline*.

DOS	CPT CODE	Billed	Paid	EOB Denial Code	MAR\$ (Maximum Allowable	Reference	Rationale
					Reimbursement)		
7-22-03	99213MP	\$54.00	\$0.00	No	\$48.00	Medicine GR	MAR reimbursement of \$48.00
7-28-03				EOB		(I)(B)(1)(b)	X 3 dates = \$144.00 is
7-30-03						Rule 134.201	recommended.
7-22-03	97110 (5)	\$225.00	\$0.00	No	\$35.00/15min	Medicine GR	See Rationale below
				EOB		(I)(A)(9)(b)	
7-22-03	97112	\$45.00	\$0.00	No	\$35.00/15 min	Medicine GR	MAR reimbursement of \$35.00 is
				EOB		(I)(A)(9)(b)	recommended.
7-22-03	97250	\$55.00	\$0.00	No	\$43.00	CPT Code	MAR reimbursement of \$43.00 is
				EOB		Descriptor	recommended.
7-22-03	97265	\$55.00	\$0.00	No	\$43.00	CPT Code	MAR reimbursement of \$43.00 is
				EOB		Descriptor	recommended.

7-30-03 8-20-03 10-14- 03	99080-73	\$15.00	\$0.00	F	\$15.00	Rule 129.5(d)	TWCC-73s to support compliance with statute were not submitted, no reimbursement is recommended.
8-1-03 8-4-03 8-6-03 8-11-03 8-13-03 8-15-03 8-18-03 8-20-03 8-22-03	98940	\$35.00	\$0.00	No EOB	\$24.11 X 125% \$30.14	Rule 134.202	MAR reimbursement of \$30.14 X 9 dates = \$271.26 is recommended
7-31-03	95900 (6)	\$384.00	\$0.00	No EOB	\$64.00/nerve	Medicine GR (IV)	Tibial, Peroneal and Plantar nerves were tested bilaterally. Reimbursement of 6 X \$64.00 = \$384.00 is recommended.
7-31-03	95935(6)	\$318.00	\$0.00	No EOB	\$53.00/extremity	Medicine GR (IV)	1 F-wave and 2 H-wave studies are reimbursable per MFG because symptoms were present in right lower extremity. 3 X \$53.00 = \$159.00 is recommended.
7-31-03	95904(8)	\$512.00	\$0.00	No EOB	\$64.00/nerve	Medicine GR (IV)	Sural, Saphenous, Plantar and Peroneal nerves were tested bilaterally. 8 X \$64.00 = \$512.00 is recommended.
7-31-03	95925(2)	\$350.00	\$0.00	No EOB	\$175.00/study	CPT Code Descriptor MFG Preamble	MAR reimbursement of \$43.00 is recommended.
8-6-03 8-8-03 8-11-03 8-13-03 8-15-03 8-18-03 8-20-03	98943	\$25.00	\$0.00	No EOB	NRF		
8-13-03 8-29-03	99178	\$22.00	\$0.00	No EOB	NRF		
8-28-03	99080 (154 pgs.)	\$77.00	\$0.00	F	\$0.50	Rule 133.106	MAR reimbursement of \$77.00 is recommended.
TOTAL							The requestor is entitled to reimbursement of \$1711.26.

Rationale for 97110:

Recent review of disputes involving one-on-one CPT code 97110 by the Medical Dispute Resolution section indicate overall deficiencies in the adequacy of the documentation of this code both with respect to the medical necessity of one-on —one therapy and documentation reflecting that these individual services were provided as billed. Moreover, the disputes indicate confusion regarding what constitutes "one-on-one." Therefore, consistent with the general obligation set forth in Section 413.016 of the Labor Code, the Medical Review Division has reviewed the matters in light all of the Commission requirements for proper documentation. The therapy notes for these dates of service do not support any clinical (mental or physical) reason as to why the patient could not have performed these exercises in a group setting, with supervision, as opposed to one-to-one therapy. The Requestor has failed to submit

documentation to support reimbursement in accordance with the 1996 MFG and 133.307(g)(3). Therefore, reimbursement is not recommended.

DECISION & ORDER

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services within this request, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to reimbursement for CPT codes, 99213MP, 97112, 98940, 99080, 97250, 97265, 95900, 95925, 95904, 95935 in the amount of **\$1711.26.** Pursuant to Sections 402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 the Division hereby ORDERS the Respondent to remit \$1711.26 plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20 days receipt of this Order.

The above Findings, Decision and Order are hereby issued this 28th day of January 2005.

Elizabeth Pickle Medical Dispute Resolution Officer					
Med	dical Review Division				
NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION					
Date: September 10, 2004					
RE: MDR Tracking #: M5-04-394 IRO Certificate #: 5242	40-01				
organization (IRO). The Texas Workers	repartment of Insurance (TDI) as an independent review 'Compensation Commission (TWCC) has assigned the independent review in accordance with TWCC Rule ite resolution by an IRO.				
determination was appropriate. In perdocuments utilized by the parties referen	eview of the proposed care to determine if the adverse rforming this review, relevant medical records, any need above in making the adverse determination and any submitted in support of the appeal was reviewed.				
certification. The reviewer has signed a continuous exist between him or her and an physicians or providers who reviewed to	ned by a Chiropractic reviewer who has an ADL certification statement stating that no known conflicts of my of the treating physicians or providers or any of the case for a determination prior to the referral to for eviewer has certified that the review was performed his case.				
Submitted by Requester:					

• Clinical Notes dates 7/22/03-10/22/03 from
--

- Health Questionnaire (Re-Exam) dates 8/29/03 and 7/28/03
- Quadruple Visual Analog Scale dated 10/14/03

- History of Present Illness (Re-Exam dated 8/29/03
- Nerve Testing Charge Sheet dated 7/31/03
- Re-Exam Orthopedic & Neurologic Evaluation dated 7/28/03
- Exercise Log dates 7/22/03-7/25/03 from _____

Submitted by Respondent:

•	Correspondence Letter dated 8/30/03 from	
•	Peer Review dated 10/22/03 from	
_	Dequired Medical Examination Depart dated 2/27/04 from	

- Medical Dispute Resolution Request/Response
- Table of Disputed Services dates 7/7/03-10/22/03
- Case Review dated 7/5/03 from .

Clinical History

I have had the opportunity to review	the medical records in the above-mentioned case for the
purpose of an Independent Review. T	he claimant injured her low back and right ankle when she
reportedly tripped over a floor mat.	The claimant has had treatment from multiple providers
including and	The treatment provided by
dates 5/12/03-10/22/03 included c	chiropractic manipulation with various physiotherapy
modalities and active therapeutic exer	cises. The claimant has had diagnostic studies performed
and based on the peer review for	this studies where with normal limits.

Requested Service(s)

Level III office visit with manipulation, chiropractic manipulative treatment (98940 & 98943), Level IV office visit regarding the above-mentioned injured worker for dates 7/23/03-10/22/03.

Decision

I agree with the insurance carrier that Level III office visit with manipulation, chiropractic manipulative treatment (98940 & 98943), and Level IV office visit regarding the above-mentioned injured worker is not reasonable and necessary 14 weeks post injury.

Rationale/Basis for Decision

The billing code 99213 (level III office visit) is a office visit or outpatient visit for evaluation and management of an established patient which requires at least 2 of 3 key components: an expanded problem focused history; an expanded problem focused examination; medical decision making of low complexity the provided documentation does not support this billing 14 weeks post injury. The billing code 98940 is a manipulation code for 1-2 regions which 14 weeks post injury for a lumbar sprain/strain is not reasonable and necessary using Official Disability Guidelines which allows up to 18chiropractic visits over 6-8 weeks post injury with evidence functional improvement of symptoms with a gradual fade to a home treatment program. Further treatment beyond 6-8

weeks post injury is not justified based on the negative diagnostic studies. The billing code 98943 is a manipulation code for extraspinal, one or more regions which 14 weeks post injury far exceeds what is allowed in the Official Disability Guidelines for a right ankle sprain/strain which allows 9 visits over 8 weeks plus an active self-directed home therapy program. The billing for 99214 is in office or outpatient visit for evaluation and management of an established patient, which requires at least 2 of these 3 key components: detailed history; a detailed examination; medical decision making of moderate complexity the provided documentation does not support this billing 14 weeks post injury.